

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/485,903	03/31/2000	CHRISTINE DUPUIS	05725.0532	7762	
7	590 . 11/27/2002				
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WELLS, LAUREN Q		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/485,903	DUPUIS ET AL.				
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lauren Q Wells	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension						
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	·			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	· .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		,				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-38 and 40-478</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	$\sqrt{}$	1 B			

SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/20/12

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) Applicant's arguments regarding the 102 rejection are based on the newly proposed after final amendment. Since this amendment is not being entered, these arguments are moot; b) Applicant argues that there is "No motivation to modify Feder to include at least one non-aminated silicone alpha, omega-disolanol to an amount of 0.05-10% by weight". This argument is not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully points out that Feder and Dupuis are combined, and Dupuis exemplifies silicones as comprising 3-20% of his composition, wherein dimethiconol (alpha, omega-disilanol), is disclosed as a silicone for use in his composition. For reasons of record, see Paper Nos. 12 and 14, there is motivation to combine the teachings of Feder and Dupuis. Furthermore, the Examiner respectfully points out that Feder and Dupuis are both directed to compositions for the treatment of keratinous substances, especially the hair, and both teach alpha, omega-disilanols as constitutents of their compositions. Regarding the arguments toward unexpected results, the Examiner respectfully directs Applicant to Paper No. 14, pages 5-6, wherein the Examiner has addressed these arguments.

SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER